

Thomas E Lauria
State Bar No. 11998025
WHITE & CASE LLP
Wachovia Financial Center
200 South Biscayne Blvd.
Miami, FL 33131
Telephone: (305) 371-2700
Facsimile: (305) 358-5744

Robin Phelan
State Bar No. 15903000
Judith Elkin
State Bar No. 06522200
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
901 Main Street
Suite 3100
Dallas, TX 75202
Telephone: (214) 651-5000
Facsimile: (214) 651-5940

ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEBTORS AND DEBTORS-IN-POSSESSION
IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION

_____)	
)	
In re)	Chapter 11 Case
)	
MIRANT CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,)	Case No. 03-46590-DML
)	Jointly Administered
Debtors.)	
_____)	
)	
In re)	Chapter 11 Case
)	
MIRANT WRIGHTSVILLE MANAGEMENT,)	
INC.,)	Case No. 03-49556
)	
Debtor.)	
_____)	
)	
In re)	Chapter 11 Case
)	
MIRANT WRIGHTSVILLE INVESTMENTS,)	Case No. 03-49548
INC.,)	
)	
Debtor.)	
_____)	

<hr/>)	
)	
In re)	Chapter 11 Case
)	
WRIGHTSVILLE POWER FACILITY, L.L.C.,)	Case No. 03-49553
)	
Debtor.)	
<hr/>)	

)	
)	
In re)	Chapter 11 Case
)	
WRIGHTSVILLE DEVELOPMENT FUNDING, L.L.C.,)	Case No. 03-49555
)	
Debtor.)	
<hr/>)	

**THIRD MOTION OF THE DEBTORS PURSUANT TO RULE 1015(b)
OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE AND N.D. TX L.B.R.
1015.1 FOR ORDER DIRECTING JOINT ADMINISTRATION OF CASES**

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Mirant Corporation (“Mirant”) and its affiliated debtors (collectively, the “Mirant Debtors”), as debtors and debtors-in-possession, and (i) Mirant Wrightsville Management, Inc., as a debtor and debtor-in-possession, (ii) Mirant Wrightsville Investments, Inc., as a debtor and debtor-in-possession, (iii) Wrightsville Power Facility, L.L.C., as a debtor and debtor-in-possession, and (iv) Wrightsville Development Funding, L.L.C., as a debtor and debtor-in-possession (collectively, the “Wrightsville Debtors” and, together with the Mirant Debtors, the “Debtors”) file this third motion for entry of an order pursuant to Rule 1015(b) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”) and N.D. TX L.B.R. 1015.1 directing the joint administration of their respective chapter 11 cases (the “Motion”), and respectfully represent as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction to consider the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A), and (O). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The relief requested in the Motion is authorized under Fed.R.Bankr.P 1015(b) and Local Bankruptcy Rule 1015.1.

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

2. The Cases. Commencing on July 14, 2003 and concluding in the early morning hours of July 15, 2003 (the “Initial Debtors’ Petition Date”), each of the Initial Debtors (as defined below) filed a voluntary petition in this Court for relief under title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, as amended (the “Bankruptcy Code”).¹ On August 18, 2003, Mirant EcoElectrica Investments I, Ltd. and Puerto Rico Power Investments, Ltd. commenced chapter 11 cases under the Bankruptcy Code. The Mirant Debtors continue to manage and operate their businesses as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

3. New Debtor Petitions. On October 3, 2003 (the “Wrightsville Debtors’ Petition Date”), the following Mirant entities filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under the Bankruptcy Code: (i) Mirant Wrightsville Management, Inc., (ii) Mirant Wrightsville Investments, Inc., (iii) Wrightsville Power Facility, L.L.C., and (iv) Wrightsville Development Funding, L.L.C. The Wrightsville Debtors continue to manage and operate their businesses as

¹ Concurrently, Mirant caused two of its Canadian subsidiaries, Mirant Canada Energy Marketing, Ltd and Mirant Canada Energy Marketing Investments, Inc. (collectively, the “Canadian Debtors”) to commence plenary insolvency proceedings in the Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta Judicial District of Calgary (the “Canadian Court”) pursuant to the *Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act*. The Canadian Debtors are subject to the sole and exclusive jurisdiction of the Canadian Court.

debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

4. Joint Administration. On July 15, 2003, this Court entered the Order Pursuant to Rule 1015(b) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and N.D. TX LBR 1015.1 Directing Joint Administration of Cases (the “Original Joint Administration Order”), ordering that the estates of Mirant and certain of its subsidiaries with Case Nos. 03-45688 and 03-45690 through 03-45663 (the “Initial Debtors”) be jointly administered. On September 8, 2003, the Court entered the order approving joint administration of the cases of Mirant EcoElectrica Investments, I Ltd., Case No. 03-47927 and Puerto Rico Power Investments, Ltd., Case No. 03-47929 with those of the Initial Debtors. Also on September 8, 2003, the Court granted the motion for an order directing that orders entered in the cases of the Initial Debtors be made applicable to Mirant EcoElectrica Investments, I Ltd. and Puerto Rico Power Investments, Ltd.

5. The Creditors’ Committees. On July 25, 2003, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Northern District of Texas announced the formation of two official unsecured creditors’ committees; one for Mirant Corporation and the other for Mirant Americas Generation, LLC (collectively, the “Creditors’ Committees”). The appointment lists of members of the Creditors’ Committees were filed in their respective chapter 11 cases on July 25, 2003.

6. The Equity Committee. On September 18, 2003, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Northern District of Texas announced the formation of the official committee of equity security holders for Mirant Corporation (the “Equity Committee”). The appointment list of the members of the Equity Committee was filed in the chapter 11 case of Mirant Corporation on September 18, 2003.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. The Debtors' Business Operations

7. Mirant and its direct and indirect subsidiaries comprise one of the world's largest generators and marketers of electricity. Through its direct and indirect subsidiaries, Mirant produces, sells and delivers reliable energy products and services to utilities, municipal systems, aggregators, electric-cooperative utilities, producers, generators, marketers and large industrial customers in North America, the Philippines and the Caribbean. Mirant's core business centers on the production and sale of electricity and electrical capacity (essentially the ability to produce electricity on demand). Mirant currently owns or controls more than 21,800 megawatts of electric generating capacity around the world, of which more than 18,000 megawatts is located in the United States. In 2002, Mirant produced 73 million megawatt-hours of electricity, sold 312 million megawatt-hours of electricity and sold or marketed an aggregate average of 21 billion cubic feet per day of natural gas.

8. Mirant employs in excess of 7,000 employees worldwide. Approximately 1,100 employees are based at Mirant's corporate headquarters in Atlanta, and approximately 5,900 employees are based at operating facilities. In 2002, Mirant recorded a \$542 million loss in earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation on a consolidated basis. Its 2002 operating revenues were approximately \$6.4 billion.

B. Facts Relevant to the Motion

9. The Wrightsville Debtors were formed as part of a transaction associated with the development and construction of the Wrightsville Power Facility located in Wrightsville, Arkansas (the "Wrightsville Plant"), which began commercial operation in July 2002. The Wrightsville Plant is a joint development venture between Mirant Wrightsville Management, Inc., Mirant Wrightsville Investments, Inc., and Kinder Morgan Power Company.

Together, Mirant Wrightsville Management, Inc. and Mirant Wrightsville Investments, Inc. own a fifty-one percent (51%) interest in the Wrightsville Plant, and Kinder Morgan Power Company owns a forty-nine percent (49%) interest. The Wrightsville Plant produces electricity for use throughout the southeastern United States. The only assets of the Wrightsville Debtors are the Wrightsville Plant and the assets associated with the Wrightsville Plant.

10. When the Initial Debtors' bankruptcy cases were commenced on July 14, 2003 and July 15, 2003, the Wrightsville Debtors were excluded from such filing because it was believed that the Wrightsville Plant would be able to produce and sell power that would generate revenue sufficient to pay the costs associated with operating the Wrightsville Plant. Contrary to these expectations, current market conditions have made it impossible to generate electricity profitably, resulting in a significant cash crisis that has made it impossible for the Wrightsville Debtors to fund their daily operations. No funding to the Wrightsville Debtors has been provided by the Mirant Debtors since the Initial Debtors' Petition Date.

11. Accordingly, in order to allow for the orderly administration of all of the Debtors' operations, chapter 11 petitions were prepared and filed for the Wrightsville Debtors, along with a motion requesting that certain orders in the Mirant Debtors' chapter 11 cases be made applicable to the Wrightsville Debtors. The Wrightsville Debtors have determined that it is in the best interest of their estates and creditors to file voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code seeking joint administration with the Mirant Debtors' pending chapter 11 cases in order to afford these entities, their estates and creditors the same protections enjoyed by the Mirant Debtors.

RELIEF REQUESTED AND BASIS THEREFOR

12. By this Motion, the Mirant Debtors and the Wrightsville Debtors seek, pursuant to Rule 1015(b) of the Bankruptcy Rules and N.D. TX L.B.R. 1015.1, the joint

administration of their chapter 11 cases for procedural purposes only under the same caption for these chapter 11 cases as was previously approved by this Court pursuant to the Original Joint Administration Order.

13. Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b) provides, in relevant part:

If a joint petition or two or more petitions are pending in the same court by or against ... a debtor and an affiliate, the court may order a joint administration of the estates.

14. The Mirant Debtors and the Wrightsville Debtors are “affiliates” as that term is defined in section 101(2) of the Bankruptcy Code. Accordingly, this Court is authorized to grant the relief requested herein. Further, this Court has previously granted similar relief in these and other chapter 11 proceedings. See, e.g., In re CoServ, LLC, Case No. 01-48684 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. Nov. 30, 2001); In re Kevco, Inc., Case No. 01-40783 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. Feb. 12, 2001).

15. Joint administration of the Mirant Debtors’ and the Wrightsville Debtors’ chapter 11 cases will expedite the administration of these cases and reduce administrative expenses without prejudicing any creditor’s substantive rights. For example, joint administration will permit the Clerk of the Court to utilize a single general docket for these cases and combine notices to creditors of the Debtors’ respective estates and other parties in interest. The Mirant Debtors and the Wrightsville Debtors anticipate that numerous notices, applications, motions, other pleadings and orders in these cases will affect many or all of the Mirant Debtors and the Wrightsville Debtors. Joint administration will permit counsel for all parties in interest to include the Mirant Debtors’ and the Wrightsville Debtors’ respective cases in a single caption on the numerous documents that will be filed and served in these cases. Joint administration also will enable parties in interest in each of the above-captioned chapter 11 cases to be apprised of the various matters before the Court in all of these cases.

16. Because the cases of the Mirant Debtors, together with the cases of the Wrightsville Debtors, involve numerous debtors with thousands of potential creditors, the entry of an order of joint administration will: (a) significantly reduce the volume of paper that otherwise would be filed with this Clerk of this Court; (b) simplify for the Office of the United States Trustee the supervision of the administrative aspects of these chapter 11 cases; (c) render the completion of various administrative tasks less costly; and (d) minimize the number of unnecessary delays associated with the administration of numerous separate chapter 11 cases. Additionally, because this is not a motion for the substantive consolidation of the Mirant Debtors' and the Wrightsville Debtors' estates, the rights of parties in interest will not be prejudiced by the proposed joint administration of these cases because each creditor may still file its claim against a particular estate. In fact, the rights of all creditors will be enhanced by the reduction in costs resulting from the joint administration.

17. The Mirant Debtors and the Wrightsville Debtors submit that joint administration of the above-captioned cases is in their best interest, as well as those of their respective estates, creditors and other parties in interest.

18. No previous motion for the requested relief has been made to this or any other court.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request entry of an order directing the joint administration of their respective chapter 11 cases, and granting the Debtors such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: Fort Worth, Texas
October 6, 2003

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
901 Main Street
Suite 3100
Dallas, TX 75202
(214) 651-5000

By: /s/ Ian Peck
Robin Phelan
State Bar No. 15903000
Judith Elkin
State Bar No. 06522200
Ian Peck
State Bar No. 24013306

-and-

Thomas E Lauria
State Bar No. 11998025
WHITE & CASE LLP
Wachovia Financial Center
200 South Biscayne Blvd.
Miami, Florida 33131
(305) 371-2700

ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEBTORS AND
DEBTORS-IN-POSSESSION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that he has authorized BSI as service agent to cause to serve a true and correct copy of the foregoing document upon all persons on the Limited Service List via email, facsimile and/or overnight courier on the 6th day of October, 2003 in accordance with the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure:

/s/ Ian Peck _____

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION**

_____)	
)	
In re)	Chapter 11 Case
)	
MIRANT CORPORATION, <u>et al.</u> ,)	Case No. 03-46590-DML
)	Jointly Administered
Debtors.)	
_____)	
)	
In re)	Chapter 11 Case
)	
MIRANT WRIGHTSVILLE MANAGEMENT,)	
INC.,)	Case No. 03-49556-DML
)	
Debtor.)	
_____)	
)	
In re)	Chapter 11 Case
)	
MIRANT WRIGHTSVILLE INVESTMENTS,)	Case No. 03-49548-DML
INC.,)	
)	
Debtor.)	
_____)	
)	
In re)	Chapter 11 Case
)	
WRIGHTSVILLE POWER FACILITY, L.L.C.,)	Case No. 03-49553-DML
)	
)	
Debtor.)	
_____)	

In re

WRIGHTSVILLE DEVELOPMENT
FUNDING, L.L.C.,

Debtor.

)
)
) Chapter 11 Case

)
) Case No. 03-49555-DML
)
)
)
)
)

**ORDER PURSUANT TO RULE 1015(b)
OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE AND
N.D. TX L.B.R. 1015.1 DIRECTING JOINT ADMINISTRATION OF CASES**

Came before the Court the Third Motion of the Debtors Pursuant to Rule 1015(b) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and N.D. TX L.B.R. 1015.1 for Order Directing Joint Administration of Cases (the “Motion”) filed by Mirant Corporation and its affiliated jointly administered debtors (Case Nos. 03-45688, 03-45690 through 03-45663, 03-47927, and 03-47929) (collectively, the “Mirant Debtors”), as debtors and debtors-in-possession, and (i) Mirant Wrightsville Management, Inc., as a debtor and debtor-in-possession, (ii) Mirant Wrightsville Investments, Inc., as a debtor and debtor-in-possession, (iii) Wrightsville Power Facility, L.L.C., as a debtor and debtor-in-possession, and (iv) Wrightsville Development Funding, L.L.C., as a debtor and debtor-in-possession (collectively, the “Wrightsville Debtors” and, together with the Mirant Debtors, the “Debtors”) requesting an order pursuant to Rule 1015(b) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and N.D. TX L.B.R. 1015.1 directing the joint administration for procedural purposes only of the chapter 11 cases of the Mirant Debtors and of the Wrightsville Debtors, as more fully set forth in the Motion. It appears that the Court has jurisdiction over this matter and that due notice of the Motion has been provided as set forth in the Motion and that no other or further notice need be provided. It further appears that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Mirant Debtors, the Wrightsville

Debtors and their estates and creditors. After due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is therefore, hereby

ORDERED that the above-captioned chapter 11 cases be, and they hereby are, consolidated for procedural purposes only and shall be jointly administered by the Court; and it is further

ORDERED that nothing contained in this Order or the Motion shall be deemed or construed as directing or otherwise affecting a substantive consolidation of the above-captioned cases; and it is further

ORDERED that pleadings in the above chapter 11 cases shall be required to bear a caption substantially in the form as previously approved by this Court in the Order Pursuant to Rule 1015(b) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and N.D. TX LBR 1015.1 Directing Joint Administration of Cases (the “Original Joint Administration Order”) entered by this Court on July 15, 2003 and attached to the Original Joint Administration Order as Exhibit A; and it is further

ORDERED that a docket entry shall be made in each of the Wrightsville Debtors’ cases substantially as follows:

“An order has been entered in this case directing the procedural consolidation and joint administration of Mirant Corporation, Case No. 03-46590, with this case and the docket in Case No. 03-46590 should be consulted for all matters affecting this case.”

Dated: October ____, 2003

HONORABLE D. MICHAEL LYNN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

PREPARED BY:

Robin Phelan
State Bar No. 15903000
Judith Elkin
State Bar No. 06522200
Ian Peck
State Bar No. 24013306
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
901 Main Street
Suite 3100
Dallas, TX 75202
(214) 651-5000

-and-

Thomas E Lauria
State Bar No. 11998025
Gerard Uzzi
Linda M. Leali
WHITE & CASE LLP
Wachovia Financial Center
200 South Biscayne Blvd.
Miami, Florida 33131
(305) 371-2700